

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ml

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,300	11/24/2003	Kenway W. Tam	SUNMP351	7065	
32291 75	590 12/04/2006		EXAMINER		
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP			LAI, VINCENT		
710 LAKEWA` SUITE 200	Y DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
. SUNNYVALE,	, CA 94085		2181		
			D		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/721,300	TAM ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit .
Vincent Lai	2181

Delore the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	•					
	Vincent Lai	2181						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 31 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
 a) \(\subseteq \) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) \(\subseteq \) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. 								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL	lianas with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two month	as of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		i E below),						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducina or simplifyina	the issues for					
appeal; and/or	nor form for appear by materially re	accoming or our pury mag						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:			-					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,5-12 and 14-18.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by see attached. 	И -	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:		PLEMING						
	DIACODY I	DATENT EXAMINED						
	SUPERVISOR	X CENTER 2100						
	TECHNOLOG	21/2006						
	11/	701000	•					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Request for Reconsideration

The following is a response in regards to the remarks submitted on 31 October 2006 regarding U.S. Patent Application Serial Number 10/721,300.

Amendments will be entered at this time. The amendments resolve 35 USC 112 and 35 USC 101 issues and do not change the scope of the claims nor the rejection that is applied to the claims.

Examiner is not persuaded by arguments. It is noted the MOVI2S and the MOVS2I instructions are not the same as a swap request. Such notions were never meant to be equated nor are apparent to the Examiner that such assertions were ever made in the Office Action. Examiner believes the MOVI2S and the MOVS2I instructions read on the save and restore instructions of the claimed invention. The MOVI2S and the MOVS2I do not merely move contents from one register to another, but it moves contents of a specified register to a special register and back, which is similar to saving to a register window of the application. A swap request is in actuality two separate instructions that are performed one after the other as stated in pages 7 and 8 of the Remarks. The Final Rejection stands, as the Examiner is not persuaded.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,300

Art Unit: 2181

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vincent Lai whose telephone number is (571) 272-6749. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:30 (First BiWeek Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fritz M. Fleming can be reached on (571) 272-4145. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Vincent Lai Examiner Art Unit 2181

November 27, 2006